

# Public Document Pack

Supplementary Information for General Purposes Committee - 28<sup>th</sup> October 2013

Agenda Item 9. "Proposed Community Governance Review To Degroup Barwick and Elmet and Scholes Parish Councils"

This page is intentionally left blank

## Agenda Item 9

BARWICK in ELMET & SCHOLLES PARISH COUNCIL

**Clerk to the Parish Council : Mr. C.D. Hassell**

"Chessingham", Elmwood Lane, Barwick in Elmet, Leeds LS15 4JX

phone 0113 393 5861 : fax 0113 281 1231

email - [chrisdhassell@hotmail.com](mailto:chrisdhassell@hotmail.com)

Sue Wolfe AEA(Cert)

Deputy Electoral Services Manager

Leeds City Council Electoral Services

Level 2

Town Hall

The Headrow

Leeds LS1 3AD

Dear Sue Wolfe

### **PETITION FOR SEPARATE COUNCIL FOR SCHOLLES**

The Parish Council is aware that this Petition is due to be considered by the General Purposes Committee on the 28<sup>th</sup> October and will be very grateful if you will kindly ensure that the enclosed paper is also given to members of the Committee for their consideration. Thank you.

Yours sincerely

*Chris Hassell*

Clerk to the Council

This page is intentionally left blank

**BARWICK in ELMET & SCHOLES PARISH COUNCIL**  
**Clerk to the Parish Council : Mr. C.D. Hassell**  
"Chessingham", Elmwood Lane, Barwick in Elmet, Leeds LS15 4JX  
phone 0113 393 5861 : fax 0113 281 1231  
email - [chrisdhassell@hotmail.com](mailto:chrisdhassell@hotmail.com)

**PAPER FOR CONSIDERATION BY GENERAL PURPOSES COMMITTEE 28<sup>th</sup> OCTOBER 2013**

**RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR SEPARATE PARISH COUNCIL FOR SCHOLES**

**The Barwick in Elmet and Scholes Parish Council respectfully request the General Purpose Committee to take the following points into consideration when reaching a decision on this Petition**

- The Parish Council were not made aware of this petition prior to its submission
- They believe that it results from the one man crusade of a former Parish Councillor against the Council supported by members of the local action group(Save Our Scholes) who continue to challenge virtually everything which the Parish Council (and for that matters Leeds City Council)does
- We believe, from reports which we have received, that many signatures were obtained based upon misinformation given when the petition was taken door to door
- the Parish Council was not given an opportunity to defend allegations which have been made
- for example suggestions have been made that the Council has favored Barwick in Elmet both in its decision making and financially when in truth every effort has been made to be scrupulously fair and records can be produced to show that expenditure is evenly split between the communities
- it has been suggested to residents that s106 / CIL moneys resulting from possible development in Scholes will be expended in Barwick in Elmet whereas it is the policy of the Council(recently reaffirmed to make it absolutely clear to residents) that such moneys will continue to be expended for the benefit of the community in which development occurs
- the Parish Council can see no valid reason or logic to splitting the Parish at a time when a united front is required to resist the massive development which threatens Scholes
- the Parish Council has been in existence in its present form since 1946 without any problems of this nature arising and is evenly balanced with 6 Councillors from each village – none of whom support a split of the Parish at this time
- if significant development in Scholes is approved at some stage in the future it is accepted that such consideration may be a subject for debate but there are other boundary issues to take into consideration (the Parish stretches well towards Thorner, Bramham and Garforth). At a time of financial constraint we suggest that these are issues for the future

**In short the Parish Council believe that this Petition is ill-founded and that the underlying issue has not been properly considered. Accordingly we ask that the decision of the General Purpose Committee is that it should be turned aside**

This page is intentionally left blank